

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,502	04/22/2004	Takateru Imai	82644	6966	
22242	7590 05/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			KORNAKOV	KORNAKOV, MICHAIL	
120 SOUTH L	A SALLE STREET		-		
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			1746		

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del></del>	h
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comme		10/829,502	IMAI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael Komakov	1746	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMI R 1.136(a). In no event, however riod will apply and will expire SIX atute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  The may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	his action is non-final. wance except for forma		e merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) 12 and 14-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 12, 14-17 are subject to restriction	drawn from consideration		
_	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in rection is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	· ·
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u>□</u> a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been receive ents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa ).	l Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB sr No(s)/Mail Date	Pa <sub> </sub>	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:	<sup>-</sup> O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/829,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 12,14,15 drawn to a method for cleaning thermoplastic resinous products, classified in class 134, subclass 6.
- Claims 16,17 drawn to a device for cleaning resinous products, classified in class 134, subclass 133.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used for homogenizing or mixing.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,502 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Applicants' attention is drawn to 37 CFR 1.142(a), which provides that restriction is proper at any stage of prosecution up to final action, a requirement may be made

Application/Control Number: 10/829,502 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

when it becomes proper, even though there was a prior requirement with which applicant complied. *Ex parte Benke*, 1904 C.D. 63, 108 O.G. 1588 (Comm'r Pat. 1904).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Kop A A Cov Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746

05/09/2006